

1 Ji-In Lee Houck (SBN 280088)
jiin@houckfirm.com
2 **THE HOUCK FIRM**
16501 Ventura Blvd, Suite 400-199
3 Encino, CA 91436
4 Tel: (888) 446-8257

5 Brett Lewis, Esq. (*pro hac vice* forthcoming)
Brett@iLawco.com
6 Michael Cilento, Esq. (*pro hac vice* forthcoming)
Michael@iLawco.com

7 **LEWIS & LIN, LLC**
77 Sands Street, 6th Floor
8 Brooklyn, NY 11201
9 Tel: (718) 243-9323
Fax: (718) 243-9326

10 *Attorneys for VPN.COM LLC*

11 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
12 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

13 VPN.COM LLC,
Plaintiff,

14 vs.

15 GEORGE DIKIAN;
16 QIANG DU; and
17 JOHN DOE

Defendants.

Case No: 2:22-cv-4453

COMPLAINT FOR:

- (1) FRAUD
- (2) CIVIL RICO (18 U.S.C. § 1961 et seq)

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

1 Plaintiff VPN.com (“VPN”), by and through undersigned counsel, for
2 VPN’s Complaint against Defendants George Dikian (“Dikian”), Qiang Du
3 (“Du”) and John Doe (“Doe”) (together as “Defendants”), hereby alleges as
4 follows:

5 **NATURE OF ACTION**

6 1. This is an action for the recovery of damages due to a massive
7 fraud perpetrated by Dikian, Du, and Doe, spanning several months, that
8 ultimately lured VPN into sending Defendants \$250,000 as part of what turned
9 out to be two completely fraudulent domain name sale transactions.

10 2. The Defendants used, *inter alia*, the reputation of Dikian, a well-
11 known domain name investor and reseller, as well as a sophisticated, fraudulent
12 online website that posed as an escrow service, Intermediar.com
13 (“Intermediar”), as the linchpins in their scheme to convince VPN that VPN
14 was brokering legitimate transactions between Dikian and Du.

15 3. Once VPN remitted \$250,000 directly to Dikian in accordance
16 with the terms of one of the domain name transactions, Defendants then stalled
17 both transactions, cut off all communications with VPN, and left VPN without
18 recourse other than to file this suit.

19 4. VPN now seeks relief in this Court against Defendants with claims
20 for fraud and violation of the Civil RICO statute, seeking, *inter alia*, the

1 \$250,000 that was unambiguously wired to and accepted by Defendants, VPN's
2 accrued, unpaid commissions from both transactions, which totals \$6,625,000,
3 and punitive damages that will deter Defendants from defrauding any further
4 victims.

5 **PARTIES**

6 5. VPN is a Georgia limited liability company with a principal place
7 of business at 378 Aldridge Avenue, Scottdale, GA 30079. VPN's sole
8 members, Michael Gargiulo and Joseph Gargiulo, are also citizens of Georgia.

9 6. Dikian is an individual, who, upon information and belief, resides
10 and is domiciled in the State of California.

11 7. Du is an individual, who, upon information and belief, resides and
12 is domiciled in the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region of the People's
13 Republic of China.

14 8. John Doe is an individual whose identity is unknown to VPN at
15 this time, but who, upon information and belief, worked with and conspired
16 with Dikian and Du in the scheme to defraud VPN.

17 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

18 9. This Court has federal question subject matter jurisdiction pursuant
19 to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and arising from an alleged violation of the RICO statute,
20 18 U.S.C. §§ 1961.

1 16. After confirming Du’s serious intention to acquire 89.com, VPN
2 began its work to identify the owner of 89.com and determine whether an
3 acquisition could be possible.

4 17. Upon investigation, VPN discovered that 89.com was owned by
5 Dikian, whom VPN had communicated with in the past regarding certain
6 potential domain name sale transactions.

7 18. Dikian is a well-known domain name investor and reseller, with,
8 upon information and belief, over 1,800 domain name registrations tied to his
9 email address G.Dikian@yahoo.com.

10 19. On March 8, 2022, VPN reached out to Dikian by email at
11 Dikian’s known email addresses “G.Dikian@yahoo.com” and
12 “George@37.net”. These email addresses were known by VPN due to VPN’s
13 previous communications with Dikian dating back to 2015, and these email
14 addresses were also confirmed by VPN through internal investigation, namely
15 by searching historical WHOIS records for 89.com.

16 20. On March 8, 2022, Dikian responded to VPN and stated that
17 89.com could be acquired in the range of 2-3 million dollars.

18 21. VPN considered this a reasonable opening position, particularly
19 given the value of 89.com in the Chinese market, as the numbers 8 and 9 are
20 very significant in Chinese culture.

1 22. VPN then worked, over the course of several weeks, to broker the
2 deal between Dikian and Du. During this time, VPN communicated with Dikian
3 by email on a near daily basis, through several email addresses, including
4 G.Dikian@Yahoo.com, George.Dikian@Gmail.com, and George@37.net.

5 23. After several rounds of negotiation with Dikian, Dikian agreed on
6 an acquisition price of \$2,250,000 net to Dikian.

7 24. After several rounds of negotiation with Du, Du agreed on an
8 acquisition price of \$4,400,000 to be paid by Du.

9 25. VPN's net proceeds for brokering the deal would be \$2,150,000.

10 26. Despite VPN's insistence that either Escrow.com or Epik.com be
11 used to escrow and facilitate the transaction, Dikian insisted that the transaction
12 be done through Intermediar, which Dikian stated could easily facilitate
13 payment in Bitcoin, causing less of a tax burden for Dikian on the transaction.

14 27. After the setup and Identity Verification was completed by
15 Intermediar for each party, Dikian, Du, and VPN agreed to use Intermediar to
16 facilitate the Intermediar Transaction, but Du stated that Du could not purchase
17 Bitcoin to fund the transaction, since Du was located in China, which has a
18 complete ban on transacting in cryptocurrencies.

19 28. After further back and forth with Dikian on this issue, Dikian
20 agreed to accept \$2,000,000 in USD from Du through Intermediar and
21

1 \$250,000 in a direct Bitcoin payment from VPN, which VPN would send after
2 its Broker commission payout was released by Intermediar.

3 29. On March 12, 2022, VPN registered an account with Intermediar
4 to act as Broker, and subsequently sent verification documents, including
5 individual and business identification documents, to verify the account per
6 Intermediar's instructions.

7 30. On April 15, 2022, Du confirmed to VPN that Du's Intermediar
8 account was registered under yingxiao@zte.com.cn. This statement was false,
9 as Intermediar is a fraudulent escrow service.

10 31. On April 16, 2022, Dikian emailed VPN from the email address
11 "George@89.com" inquiring whether VPN was ready to complete the
12 transaction. The use of this email address, tied to 89.com, served as further
13 proof of Dikian's identity and the legitimacy of the Intermediar Transaction.

14 32. The headers for the George@89.com email address show that
15 authentication "passed," and list the server as "websitewelcome.com," which is
16 the email server used by HostGator, the company where 89.com is registered.
17 This confirmed VPN was communicating directly with the owner of 89.com

18 33. On April 20, 2022, Intermediar set up the Intermediar Transaction,
19 and on the same day, Dikian purported to accept the transaction's terms through
20 Dikian's Intermediar account registered under G.Dikian@yahoo.com.

1 34. On April 23, 2022, Intermediar confirmed that Du had partially
2 funded the transaction by depositing \$2,200,000 into Intermediar. This
3 confirmation was false.

4 35. On April 24, 2022, Intermediar confirmed that Dikian had
5 delivered 89.com into escrow with Intermediar. This confirmation was false, as
6 Dikian never transferred the domain name.

7 36. On April 24, 2022, Dikian provided his Bitcoin wallet address to
8 VPN in order for VPN to make the \$250,000 payment once Intermediar
9 confirmed completion of the transaction. Dikian provided the following Bitcoin
10 wallet address: bc1qymcdwgqde47qxd8s7tk0jyufpgejrgtg4gw5qr.

11 37. On April 27, 2022, Intermediar confirmed that 89.com had been
12 delivered to Du's Intermediar account for a one-day inspection period. This
13 confirmation was false, as 89.com was never transferred.

14 38. On April 29, 2022, Intermediar confirmed that Du had accepted
15 delivery of 89.com, that the transaction was now completed, and that payouts
16 from the deal would be forthcoming. This statement was false.

17 39. While the Intermediar Transaction was coming to a close, Dikian
18 provided VPN with 95 additional premium domains for transacting. VPN then
19 began negotiating with Dikian on behalf of Du for an acquisition of the package
20
21

1 of 95 three-number domain names, which can be seen on the list of Annex A
2 attached hereto (the “95 3N Domains”) (the “Escrow.com Transaction”).

3 40. After negotiations on both sides, VPN, Dikian and Du came to
4 terms on the Escrow.com Transaction, with Du paying \$12,530,000, Dikian
5 receiving \$8,025,000, and VPN receiving \$4,475,000.

6 41. Upon information and belief, Defendants arranged the Escrow.com
7 Transaction in order to lend credibility to their fraud and to the Intermediar
8 Transaction. Of note, this time, Dikian agreed to facilitate the sale of the 95 3N
9 Domains through Escrow.com, one of the world’s largest and most reputable
10 online escrow services with over \$5 billion in transactions processed.

11 42. On May 1, 2022, upon VPN’s insistence, Dikian sent VPN a video
12 showing emails evidencing the recent re-registrations of many of the 95 3N
13 Domains being included in the Escrow.com Transaction, as well as other
14 domains not included in the Escrow.com Transaction but owned by Dikian.

15 43. On May 2, 2022, Escrow.com set up the transaction through their
16 concierge service, with the Transaction #11869799 and the Escrow #2610588.

17 44. On May 2, 2022, Escrow.com confirmed to VPN that Dikian had
18 been verified and had agreed to the terms for the Escrow.com Transaction.

19 45. Escrow.com employs a rigorous verification process.
20
21

1 46. On May 3, 2022, Escrow.com confirmed to VPN that all parties
2 had agreed to the terms for the Escrow.com Transaction.

3 47. On May 3, 2022, Intermediar confirmed that VPN's Broker
4 payment for the Intermediar Transaction of \$2,374,015 had been credited to
5 VPN's Intermediar account. This confirmation was false.

6 48. On the same day of May 3, 2022, VPN initiated a withdrawal
7 request via wire transfer of the \$2,374,015, but Intermediar later notified VPN
8 that the withdrawal was returned to Intermediar due to "invalid banking details
9 at the receiving bank." This statement was a further distraction of the fact that
10 the entire transaction was fraudulent.

11 49. On the same day of May 3, 2022, VPN provided Intermediar with
12 additional banking details for the withdrawal to be processed.

13 50. On the morning of May 4, 2022, Intermediar notified VPN that the
14 banking details were now confirmed and that the \$2,374,015 withdrawal wire
15 was now being successfully processed to VPN's bank. This notification was
16 false.

17 51. On the evening of May 4, 2022, after Intermediar's confirmation of
18 the withdrawal that same morning, and after confirmation of the separate
19 Escrow.com transaction which had verified Dikian's identity, VPN wired
20 Dikian 6.27 Bitcoin, which was worth \$250,000 at the time of sending, from
21

1 VPN's Coinbase Pro Account, verified by the transaction hash:
2 bc63bcff3eb86ae1dbfbf035ef51d6594d9d6d3b372d30f1038427e227c920aa.

3 52. Before sending the full amount, VPN sent a test transfer of .0001
4 Bitcoin, which Dikian confirmed receipt of via email, and verified by the
5 transaction hash:

6 935dc7ef6f55ee0cd4a1159ef367324824ef1ccf239019154672e1630 cdaa81b.

7 53. On May 7, 2022, having still not received the wire withdrawal
8 from Intermediar, VPN contacted Intermediar inquiring about the status.

9 54. On May 9, 2022, having received no response from Intermediar,
10 VPN continued to contact Intermediar to inquire about the status of the wire
11 withdrawal.

12 55. On May 10, 2022, Du confirmed to VPN that 89.com had been
13 successfully transferred into Du's Intermediar account. This was a lie.

14 56. On May 11, 2022, Dikian contacted VPN feigning ignorance of the
15 entire 89.com transaction, insisting that it was "imposters/scammers" that had
16 taken over Dikian's email address, and insisting that Dikian did not receive the
17 Bitcoin that VPN sent. Notably, Dikian emailed VPN from the
18 g.dikian@yahoo.com email address that VPN first emailed Dikian at in March
19 and on various emails since that time.

1 57. VPN later checked the headers for the various
2 g.dikian@yahoo.com emails, all of which used IP addresses assigned to Oath
3 Holdings, Inc., the original name of the company created by Verizon to hold
4 Yahoo's assets, after they were acquired by Verizon. The headers also specified
5 that the emails "passed." As such, the emails did not appear to have been
6 spoofed.

7 58. On May 12, 2022, Intermediar finally responded, and notified VPN
8 that the transaction and all withdrawals were being put on hold due to Dikian
9 requesting cancellation of the transaction.

10 59. According to Intermediar, the reason Dikian requested cancellation
11 was that Dikian never received the \$250,000 in Bitcoin.

12 60. VPN informed Intermediar that Dikian and Intermediar had no
13 right to cancel the Intermediar Transaction, and that the Bitcoin was sent to
14 Dikian at the address Dikian specified and the transaction completed as agreed.

15 61. On or around that same day of May 12, 2022, Dikian began
16 withdrawing the 6.27 Bitcoin from the wallet VPN had sent the Bitcoin. The
17 wallet was completely emptied by the following day, May 13, 2022.

18 62. On or around May 13, 2022, and continuing thereafter, VPN made
19 several inquiries to Intermediar regarding the status of the Intermediar
20
21

1 Transaction, informing them that Dikian had received and withdrew the Bitcoin
2 sent to him, and demanding that Intermediar release VPN's commission funds.

3 63. Intermediar refused to release the funds and eventually completely
4 shut off all communication with VPN.

5 64. VPN's investigation reveals that 89.com was never transferred
6 from its underlying registrar.

7 **FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

8 **(FRAUD)**

9 65. VPN realleges and incorporates by reference the preceding
10 paragraphs set forth above as if fully stated under this claim.

11 66. Defendants intentionally targeted VPN with their scam. Du
12 contacted VPN seeking to buy a domain name that Du knew was owned by
13 Dikian. Du knew that Dikian would insist on using a fraudulent escrow service
14 to process the "transaction," and he would grudgingly agree to use that service.

15 67. Dikian knew of VPN from past dealings, and concocted a scam,
16 whereby VPN would be thrown off by the fact that VPN would be contacting
17 Dikian at a known email address, which would engender VPN's trust.

18 68. From March 2022 through May 2022, Defendants committed fraud
19 by intentionally and falsely making repeated false representations about the
20 legitimacy of the Intermediar Transaction, including that 89.com had been

1 successfully received by Du, that the transaction had been completed, that
2 VPN's Broker funds had been wired by Intermediar to VPN's bank, that the
3 Escrow.com transaction had been agreed to, verified, and approved, and by
4 representing that Intermediar was a legitimate escrow service when in fact
5 Intermediar is a fake website and fraudulent service that was used by
6 Defendants, and upon information and belief, created and operated by
7 Defendants for the sole purpose of committing fraud against unsuspecting
8 victims such as VPN.

9 69. That Dikian had produced verification and identity documents to
10 Escrow.com, and that Dikian had sent a video showing the re-registrations of
11 the 95 3N Domains, gave further assurance to VPN that the Intermediar
12 Transaction was legitimate, and was a catalyst for VPN wiring the Bitcoin to
13 Dikian on May 4, 2022, just two days after Escrow.com had confirmed Dikian's
14 identity.

15 70. The false representations by Defendants were material, including
16 the false representation that Defendants sent from Intermediar on the morning
17 of May 4, 2022, that informed VPN that its Broker proceeds had been wired to
18 VPN's bank account. Indeed, it was later that same evening that VPN did make
19 the \$250,000 payment to Dikian.

1 75. VPN realleges and incorporates by reference the preceding
2 paragraphs set forth above as if fully stated under this claim.

3 76. VPN alleges that Defendants’ conduct, and the conduct of each
4 Defendant named herein, constitutes racketeering as set forth in 18 U.S.C. §
5 1964(c). Specifically, Congress has defined “racketeering” to include wire
6 fraud, or committing fraud by means of electronic transmissions over wire.

7 77. The Defendants here engaged in multiple instances of wire fraud,
8 including setting up and using a fraudulent online domain name and website,
9 Intermediar, which posed as a legitimate online escrow service.

10 78. The fact that Intermediar remains up and continues to appear as a
11 legitimate business and online escrow service to date further shows Defendants’
12 pattern of racketeering and continued ability to defraud others.

13 79. Defendants also carried out their scheme through many emails sent
14 by wire to VPN; and Dikian requested and accepted payment through use of the
15 Internet and blockchain technology, specifically receiving funds through the
16 Bitcoin Lightning network.

17 80. Furthermore, as described herein, from March 2022 through May
18 2022, Defendants committed multiple predicate acts in furtherance of their
19 scheme, including by intentionally and falsely making repeated representations
20 about the legitimacy of the Intermediar Transaction, including that 89.com had
21

1 been successfully received by Du, that the transaction had been completed, that
2 VPN's Broker funds had been wired by Intermediar to VPN's bank, that the
3 Escrow.com transaction had been agreed to, verified, and approved, and by
4 representing that Intermediar was a legitimate escrow service when in fact
5 Intermediar is a fake website and fraudulent service that was used by
6 Defendants, and upon information and belief, created and operated by
7 Defendants for the sole purpose of committing fraud against unsuspecting
8 victims such as VPN.

9 81. Finally, by accepting and failing to return the \$250,000 in Bitcoin,
10 and without completing the transactions, Defendants have committed theft of
11 VPN's rightful funds.

12 82. Dikian, Du, and Doe worked together throughout the above events
13 as an enterprise to commit their fraud, including by using the fraudulent
14 Intermediar as well as Dikian's well-known reputation and identity as the
15 linchpins for their successful enterprise.

16 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

17 WHEREFORE, VPN respectfully requests judgment against Defendants
18 as follows:
19
20
21

- 1 i. Damages according to proof at trial, but in an amount not less than
2 \$6,625,000 in Broker commissions owed from both transactions, and
3 \$250,000 that VPN wired to Defendants as part of Defendants' fraud;
- 4 ii. Enhanced (treble) monetary damages pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1964(c);
- 5 iii. A preliminary and permanent injunction freezing the <89.com>
6 domain name and the 95 3N Domains (as listed in Annex A);
- 7 iv. Litigation expenses, including reasonable attorneys' fees, court costs,
8 disbursement, and costs of collection;
- 9 v. Punitive damages in the sum of not less than \$5,000,000 or an amount
10 otherwise to be decided by a jury; and
- 11 vi. Such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

12
13 Dated: June 29, 2022

Respectfully Submitted:

14 **THE HOUCK FIRM**

15 By: /s/ Ji-In Lee Houck
JI-IN LEE HOUCK

16 **LEWIS & LIN, LLC**

17 /s/ Brett Lewis
18 Brett E. Lewis (*proc hac vice*
forthcoming)

19 *Attorneys for VPN.COM LLC*

20
21 **COMPLAINT**

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21

JURY TRIAL DEMAND

VPN demands trial by jury on all issues so triable.

Dated: June 29, 2022

Respectfully Submitted:

THE HOUCK FIRM

By: /s/ Ji-In Lee Houck
JI-IN LEE HOUCK

LEWIS & LIN, LLC

 /s/ Brett Lewis
Brett E. Lewis (*proc hac vice*
forthcoming)

Annex A**(95 3N Domains)**

014.com	026.com	037.com	041.com	043.com	044.com
049.com	066.com	142.com	146.com	148.com	149.com
158.com	226.com	264.com	269.com	301.com	309.com
320.com	326.com	375.com	409.com	410.com	432.com
443.com	470.com	473.com	475.com	480.com	485.com
490.com	550.com	575.com	607.com	639.com	645.com
670.com	690.com	7-7.com	735.com	745.com	774.com
782.com	783.com	784.com	785.com	790.com	806.com
811.com	812.com	821.com	824.com	825.com	827.com
829.com	830.com	832.com	834.com	835.com	836.com
839.com	841.com	842.com	844.com	845.com	846.com
849.com	851.com	853.com	854.com	856.com	859.com
861.com	862.com	865.com	866.com	869.com	871.com
872.com	873.com	874.com	875.com	884.com	890.com
891.com	892.com	893.com	894.com	895.com	896.com
897.com	903.com	910.com	941.com	965.com	